The Mayor and Council of the City of Coeur d’Alene met in a regular session of said Council at the Coeur d’Alene City Library Community Room, February 5, 2019 at 6:00 p.m., there being present upon roll call the following members:

Steve Widmyer, Mayor
Woody McEvers  ) Members of Council Present
Amy Evans  )
Dan Gookin  )
Dan English  )
Kiki Miller  )
Loren Ron Edinger  )

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Widmyer called the meeting to order.

INVOCATION: Pastor Wayne Foil with Hayden Bible Fellowship provided the invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Councilmember McEvers led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Chet Gaede, Coeur d’Alene, spoke concerning the Rivers Edge Apartments public hearing being set for March 5, 2019 and believes there are many people against the project. He reminded the Council that they represent the entire community and should consider what is best for the City now and into the future. He reiterated how important it is for the community to gain access to the river.

Dave Passaro, Coeur d’Alene, noted that he has been a resident for approximately 8 years. He said that he would like the option to auto pay his utility bill and to receive an electronic bill, as this is the only bill he is not able to set up as auto pay. He explained that life is more inconsistent and it would be most convenient to pay automatically. He believes the solution is easy, as he talked with Boise, Eagle and Lewiston, who all say that they provide that service. Boise noted that they use a third party service and Eagle does it manually, with the ability to pay online with credit card. Lewiston does it all themselves through “lock box” at their bank. When he contacted each city, they all noted that they would be willing to work with the City of Coeur d’Alene. Mr. Passaro further commented that the post card-style bill gets lost many times, and that they stick together with neighbors’ bills. He asked the Council to make this a priority. Councilmember Gookin concurred this is the only bill he writes a check for and he is a proponent of moving forward with this request. They have looked at the process and maybe
something will work with our system. Councilmember English said that he thinks it is a great idea and would be a proponent of payroll deduction for employee and Councilmember accounts.

Mike Kennedy, Coeur d’Alene, noted that he is the Vice President of local firm Intermax Networks and said that he was surprised to see the fiber optic cable line proposal on the agenda tonight. He encouraged the Council to delay any action. He noted that his firm has more than 100 buildings on fiber in the City and employs 45 families. While citywide fiber installation is a fascinating idea, it is also a very complicated idea. He believes there are many policy and political ramifications to this idea and urged Council to slow the idea down and develop a clear idea and policy as to why the City should be wading into the private sector where private industry is already engaged. Mr. Kennedy noted four things the Council should consider during the consideration of the proposal as follows: As a policy, has the Council made this a priority in the Comprehensive Plan and to subsidize it; Do the tax payers want the City involved in an industry as fast changing and complicated as telecommunication; Has the City reached out to all local agencies before engaging in negotiations with one company; and, If a company as cash rich as Google began and stumbled with a fiber-to-home project, why would we know more than they do? He requested that the City put this off until further review. He felt that if TDS Metrocom wants to get a franchise agreement as the local companies have, and then compete on a level field, he would welcome them.

Correen Stauffer, Coeur d’Alene, Director of Field Operations for Spectrum in Coeur d’Alene, said that she has worked in telecommunications for 40 years in this community. She feels it is important for the City to take time and ask many questions to decide what is best for the community. She would encourage the Council to do more due diligence before moving forward.

PRESENTATION: Municipal Services Director Renata McLeod presented the City’s new public records management software GovQA. She noted that citizens could access the site through the City’s Website (www.cdaid.org) under the “I want to...” tab. Citizens will be requested to register for an account and will be able to receive updates on the status of their requests. She commented that she believes this will be a very user-friendly and informative way to manage the public records requests for both staff and citizens.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Councilmember McEvers noted that County Commissioner Bill Brooks was in the booth this evening learning about broadcasting for future coverage of County meetings. He expressed excitement about future tapings of County meetings being broadcast on the CDATV Channel.

Councilmember English noted that he would be missing the next Council meeting, which should be his last day-job conflict, as he is retiring in June.

The Mayor requested the appointment of Peter Luttropp to the Planning Commission and Sarah Garcia to the Arts Commission.

MOTION: Motion by Edinger, seconded by Evans, to appoint Peter Luttropp to the Planning Commission and Sarah Garcia to the Arts Commission. Motion carried.
CONSENT CALENDAR: Motion by McEvers, seconded by Miller, to approve the Consent Calendar.
1. Approval of Council Minutes for the January 15, 2018 and January 17, 2019 Council Meetings.
2. Approval of Minutes for the January 22, 2019 Public Works Committee Meeting.
3. Approval of Bills as Submitted.
4. Setting of General Services and Public Works Committees meetings for Monday, February 11, 2019 at 12:00 noon and 4:00 p.m. respectively.
5. Setting a Public Hearing for March 5, 2019:
   b. (Legislative) ZC-4-18: Applicant: Rivers Edge Apartments, LLC; Location: 3528 W. Seltice Way Request: A proposed zone change from R-12 to C-17
6. Approval of a Cemetery Lot repurchase from Paula Ann Halstead; Niche F 20, Forest Cemetery

ROLL CALL: Evans Aye; Miller Aye; McEvers Aye; Gookin Aye; English Aye; Edinger Aye. Motion Carried.

APPROVAL OF AMENDED PLAT TO THE PARK DRIVE ADDITION, LOT 9 BUILDING ENVELOPE MODIFICATION AND REDUCED FRONT YARD SETBACK.

STAFF REPORT: Community Planning Director Hilary Anderson noted that this request is unusual and explained that the homeowners are requesting the amendment of the building envelope for their lot and have offered a donation into the Urban Forestry fund. She explained that the City worked with ignite cda (ignite) in establishing a building envelope when the lots owned by ignite were initially created prior to their sale. The intent was to conserve space, protect existing trees, and to determine where porches and such could encroach. The owners had an arborist inspect the tree who found lightning strike damage, and they received a recommendation to remove the tree due to potential liability. They talked to the City about what to do after the tree was removed and requested that they modify the building envelope to match the neighboring properties. Additionally, the owners understood the sensitivity of large tree removal within the City and offered the donation. After review of the site, staff made the determination to put a tree elsewhere.
DISCUSSION: Councilmember English noted that when it came before the Public Works Committee it was apparent that everyone operated in good faith and it just did not work out to keep the tree. He asked what the $500 donation would buy in the way of a replacement tree. Urban Forester Nick Goodwin noted that they intend to find a different variety of oak tree and that with delivery and installation charges they could purchase a 4” diameter tree. Councilmember Miller clarified that the monetary donation has nothing to do with the property line movement.

MOTION: Motion by McEvers, seconded by English to approve an amended plat to the Park Drive Addition, Lot 9 Building Envelope Modification and Reduced Front Yard Setback. Motion carried.

REQUEST FOR TDS METROCOM TO BUILD A FIBER OPTIC CABLE NETWORK.

STAFF REPORT: City Attorney Mike Gridley noted that TDS Metrocom (TDS) brought this request forward to the City. They are a Wisconsin-based business and will present their request to the City directly this evening. Their request includes the benefit of providing a one-gigabyte high-speed internet fiber optic line throughout the City, and would inject some competition into the local services. They have requested that the City rebate up to $1 Million from franchise fees collected in the future to help with project build out, which is an unusual request and would involve legal work to structure it.

TDS Corporate Vice President Drew Peterson noted that he has been in contact with staff since August and explained the benefits to the City of Coeur d’Alene to include potentially providing services to 23,000 households and a $33 Million construction cost investment that would be completed before any remittance takes place. They are working toward the next construction season for a project start and have designs they would like to move forward. He noted that the business has been around for 50 years and has 2,600 employees. In 2013, they made a decision to grow larger and acquired companies, including cable companies, to be the fastest broadband company in the area. In regard to economic development, Mr. Peterson believes fiber makes a difference in a community. He described the City of Sun Prairie, Wisconsin, who was their first partner, and their desire to be a smart city. They thought it would take 12 years to complete fiber installation on their own; however, TDS was able to accomplish it within 16 months, with a 60% market share, and believes it became a tremendous community benefit. He noted that they have a planned $60 Million investment in one community in Wisconsin scheduled for 2019. There is choice in Coeur d’Alene and a competitive environment is healthy for a community. He noted the speeds of operation they would be able to offer and that it could increase home value by as much as $6,000. He felt that there is room in the residential market in Coeur d’Alene and explained that they would be able to offer 1 gigabyte of service, IP TV Services such as whole home DVR, wireless set top boxes, videos on demand, and voice over IP for commercial customers, and would provide local service and technicians and they intend to create jobs. They will be making a considerable investment in the community and are trying to come into this competitively and work with local partners. He noted that they will have competitive pricing, and will be receiving their state franchise soon. They wanted to partner with the community to ensure they go where the City wants them to go in the least cosmetically destructive way. Mr. Peterson explained that the $1 Million investment, at the end of the fiber optic line installation, is
a small increment that demonstrates that the City team will be easy to work with, willing to sharing information regarding location of new subdivision, and expedite permitting. He explained that they have found that a partnership demonstrates that the City has “skin in the game” to make this project work. He stated that it generally takes them less than two years to complete the installation and noted that they are not wedded to the increment fee model and that are other methods such as tax abatement and tax increment that have been done in other areas. When they complete the network, their added customers will pay the franchise fees to the City, while Dish and Direct TV do not. The idea is that when the network is complete, TDS will remit the fees to the City and then they would receive half back. All of the research they have done has validated their belief that Coeur d’Alene is a place they would like to do business and they want to build a cluster in Idaho in the spring.

DISCUSSION: Councilmember Gookin asked how a rebate is allowed under Idaho Code. Mr. Gridley noted that the money comes into the General Fund and if the City determines it is important to the community and business, they can allocate fund accordingly. Additionally, franchise fees are not designated to go to any one specific thing, and can be spent as the City deems fit. Councilmember Gookin asked if the City could provide a grant to a business. Mr. Gridley clarified that it would have to have some community benefit. He noted that one way to look at the agreement would be to prepay for services we are going to get in the future and clarified that it needs to be for some benefit to the community, such as the Kroc Center.

Councilmember McEvers asked if franchise agreements were supposed to be based on right-away usage. Mr. Gridley explained that there are statewide franchise agreements that require the company to pay a 5% fee to the City and requires them to be subject to City rules about street cuts and placements of structures. The unusual thing about this proposal is that TDS is asking for money back to help with the build-out costs of the project. Otherwise, they are entitled to say they have a statewide agreement and are going to build a network, and the City just gets to tell them the rules. Councilmember Evans asked how the City could ensure there is a level playing field with existing local providers and how they can be a part of this process. Mr. Gridley noted that TDS is the only company that has made a proposal. He noted that one idea would be for the City to put out a request for proposals under the same terms. Councilmember English noted that there is no precedent for this and believes there would have to be a very compelling reason to drive the City to do this and he does not feel that they have a compelling reason.

Councilmember Gookin noted that he looked into the Google Fiber project; however, the dynamic changes quickly in this industry, and he wonders if it is practical. He believes that if there were a technology to invest in, it would be wireless, not fiber, as there are fewer infrastructures needed. Councilmember McEvers wondered if it was the City’s place to be involved in this business. Councilmember Gookin noted that he believes the free market works and the community has a great selection to choose from and that this investment would need to be a part of the long range plan.

Councilmember McEvers thanked Mr. Peterson for the information and asked what will happen to the local companies. Mr. Peterson explained that he believes fiber has a tremendous amount of sizzle for business and citizens; and noted that TDS is the third or more company entering into the community. Serving residential customers is their strong suit and he is comfortable competing in that market, as choice benefits everyone. Mr. Peterson clarified that they want to
partner with the City to streamline access to rights-of-way. Councilmember Gookin noted that the $1 Million remittance would give them an advantage, and asked if they have gone into any city where they have not received any fee in exchange. Mr. Peterson confirmed that they have and learned from that experience that if you go in to a community without a development agreement, they get to pick and choose what area they go into and they go to highest density areas first. They also found that permitting can be slow and citizens do not appreciate it when they do not have the same services in all areas and no control. It makes a huge difference when communities are engaged and working with new subdivisions, etc.

Councilmember Evans asked for clarification regarding the community dialog TDS has completed. Mr. Peterson noted that their dialog has been from a technology perspective and with national contractors and employment agencies to ensure the workforce is here. Councilmember English expressed concern regarding the appearance of a speedier permitting process and insider information where new subdivisions are coming in. Mr. Peterson clarified that the information they would be seeking is what area the new subdivision encompasses and the road movements, etc., such information as is publicly available building intelligence. Councilmember Miller expressed concern that the partnership agreement would be viewed as an endorsement of the TDS product and noted that it makes her feel uncomfortable. She questioned what would happen if this does not work and they do not get 60% of the market share. Mr. Peterson noted that the considerable investment is made on their behalf, and they take the risk that they may not get 60% of the market, but it is their intent to be successful here. They are a family-based company and have been building networks for 50 years with the goal of being a community partner. He clarified that they want to do everything to pre-sell neighborhood-by-neighborhood and build enthusiasm for their product. Mayor Widmyer noted that there is so much to learn and suggested the City create an ad hoc committee, to include a couple members of Council, to study further. Mr. Gridley agreed that an ad hoc committee would work. Mr. Peterson noted that TDS wants to move forward and be available to provide information to resolve concerns. Councilmember Gookin stated that his concern is not about services they want to provide, but it is the issue of giving a break on franchise fees to do business here, as he believe it gives them an unfair break over the existing providers.

MOTION: Motion by Gookin, seconded by English to direct staff to proceed with further negotiations with TDS, without the development agreement rebate, to provide equitability to existing providers in the community.

CONTINUED DISCUSSION: Councilmember Gookin reiterated that he believes the break in franchise fees is unfair. Councilmember English noted that out of all priorities expressed to him from the community, this need has not been brought to his attention. Councilmember Edinger agreed that the City should have a committee and Councilmember Gookin should be a member of that group, including Mr. Tymesen and other company representatives. Mr. Peterson noted that he is more than willing to continue to answer questions and outline the benefits in the development agreement regarding investments to be made and contributions to the community, and most importantly that they continue to have a forward momentum with a date certain, as they want to start construction in the spring. Councilmember Gookin reiterated that government should not be involved in private competition. Councilmember Edinger said he would like to let
other companies have a say on the subject. Councilmember McEvers noted that the state level franchise negotiations leave out the local level.

**Motion carried.**

**Second MOTION:** Motion by Gookin, seconded by Edinger to direct staff to create a committee to study the issue of fiber optic networks in the community. **Motion Carried.**

**DISCUSSION:** Councilmember Miller would like to include a committee review of where this comes in the Comprehensive Plan, if 2030 has this in their plan, and where the community economic development council stands on the issue.

Second Motion Carried.

**A-4-18: ANNEXATION OF A 4.99 ACRE PARCEL, LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF RAMSEY ROAD AND SOUTH OF PRAIRIE AVENUE, FROM AG-SUBURBAN TO R-1. PURSUANT TO COUNCIL ACTION ON DECEMBER 18, 2018**

**RESOLUTION NO. 19-004**

**MOTION:** Motion by McEvers, seconded by Edinger to approve the Resolution No. 19-004, annexation agreement with Ramsey Road Baptist Church, Inc. for annexation of 4.99 acre parcel, located on the east side of Ramsey Road and South of Prairie Avenue, from AG-Suburban to R-1.

**ROLL CALL:** English Aye; Edinger Aye; Evans Aye; Miller Aye; McEvers Aye; Gookin Aye. **Motion Carried.**

**COUNCIL BILL NO. 19-1001**

**AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TO AND DECLARING TO BE A PART OF THE CITY OF COEUR D'ALENE, KOOTENAI COUNTY, IDAHO, SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED PORTIONS OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 51, NORTH, RANGE 4W, BOISE MERIDIAN; ZONING SUCH SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED PROPERTY HEREBY ANNEXED; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; PROVIDING A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR THE PUBLICATION OF A SUMMARY OF THIS ORDINANCE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE HEREOF.**

**MOTION:** Motion by McEvers, seconded by Miller, to dispense with the rule and read Council Bill No. 19-1001 once by title only.
ROLL CALL: Evans Aye; Miller Aye; McEvers Aye; Gookin Aye; English Aye; Edinger Aye. Motion carried.

MOTION: Motion by McEvers, seconded by Miller, to adopt Council Bill 19-1001.

ROLL CALL: Evans Aye; Miller Aye; McEvers Aye; Gookin Aye; English Aye; Edinger Aye. Motion carried.

RECESS: Mayor Widmyer called for a 7-minute recess at 7:46 p.m. The meeting resumed at 7:56 p.m.

(LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING) PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR THE CDBG ANNUAL ACTION PLAN FOR PY2019

STAFF REPORT: CDBG Specialist Michelle Cushing provided a brief history of the Community Development Block Grant funding. She highlighted the eligible activities that can be funded through this program and reiterated the importance of using the six goals established in the five-year plan to justify expenditures. She noted that the City has received approximately $3.3 Million in CDBG funding that was leveraged over the past 11 years. She verified that the city met and exceeded the citizen participation plan requirements and received many ideas from the citizens who attended the community forum. She explained that the proposed budget is based on this year’s allocation, as 2019 funding has not been provided by HUD yet; however, the plan year does start April 1, 2019. The budget proposal includes 5% toward sidewalks; 2% toward Lake City Center funding, 16% toward the emergency minor home repair program, 58% toward community grants and 20% toward administration.

Mayor Widmyer called for public comments, with none being heard, public comment was closed.

MOTION: Motion by McEvers, seconded by Gookin to approve the Community Development Block Grant Annual Action plan for Plan Year 2019. Motion carried.

(LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING) A-2-18: A PROPOSED ANNEXATION OF 2.50 ACRE ANNEXATION FROM AGRICULTURAL SUBURBAN TO R-1 ZONING DISTRICT, LOCATED AT 3655 E. FERNAN HILL RD AND 4151 E. FERNAN HILL RD, FRONTING THE NORTH SIDE OF N. FERNAN HILL RD, BEING TAX PARCEL #4952 APPLICANT: DAVE AND YVONNE PALMER

STAFF REPORT: Associate Planner Tami Stroud explained that the City of Coeur d’Alene is requesting annexation of 2.50 acres from agricultural suburban to City R-1 (Residential at 1 unit/acre) zoning on the property located at 3655 E. Fernan Hill Rd. and 4151 E. Fernan Hill Rd., fronting the north side of N. Fernan Hill Rd., being tax parcel #4952. She clarified that all legal descriptions and maps have been updated and corrected since the initial application from the applicant. She explained the R-1 zoning designation is intended for residential development of detached single-family dwelling units at one per gross acre. She noted that there are four findings required for this annexation as follows: that the request is or is not in conformance with
the Comprehensive Plan; that public facilities and utilities are or are not available and adequate for the proposed use; that the physical characteristics of the site do or do not make it an acceptable request at this time; and that the proposal would or would not adversely affect the surrounding neighborhood. Ms. Stroud presented the surrounding zoning and land uses and applicable Comprehensive Plan objectives. She provided staff input regarding the finding categories, noting that those items would be required during the time of subdivision and/or development.

APPLICANT: Scott Lenz spoke on behalf of owners Dave and Yvonne Palmer, and wanted to note that staff was wonderful to work with, especially Shana Stuhlmiller.

Mayor Widmyer called for additional public comments. With none being heard, public comment was closed.

DISCUSSION: Councilmember Miller noted that she lives two doors down from this property but does not have a conflict of interest. Councilmember McEvers asked about the contiguous line of the property. Ms. Stroud noted that the boundary to the south of the property connects to the city limits.

MOTION: Motion by McEvers, seconded by Edinger to approve A-2-18: A proposed annexation of 2.50 acre annexation from Agricultural Suburban to R-1 zoning district, located at 3655 E. Fernan Hill Rd and 4151 E. Fernan Hill Rd, fronting the north side of N. Fernan Hill Rd, being tax parcel #4952 Applicant: Dave and Yvonne Palmer, to direct staff to negotiate an annexation agreement, and to develop the necessary Findings and Order.

ROLL CALL: McEvers Aye; Gookin Aye; English Aye; Edinger Aye; Evans Aye; Miller Aye. Motion carried.

(LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING) A PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ANY AND ALL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THE FOLLOWING PROPOSED FEES: THE ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT IS PROPOSING TO AMEND SEVERAL PARKING FEES THROUGHOUT THE CITY; THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT IS PROPOSING TO INCREASE VARIOUS STREET LIGHTING FEES; THE LIBRARY SEEKS TO CLARIFY THAT OVERDUE FEES WILL NOT BE CHARGED TO MINORS; THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS PROPOSING TO INCREASE FEES RELATED TO SECURITY AND TRAFFIC CONTROL, ANIMAL CONTROL FEES, LICENSES, AND ANIMAL IMPOUND FEES; AND THE WATER DEPARTMENT IS PROPOSING TO INCREASE WATER RATES AND CAPITALIZATION FEES.

STAFF REPORT: Renata McLeod, Municipal Services Director noted that the Idaho code requires a public hearing for 5% increases; however, staff brings forward all changes via this hearing and resolution to create a historical tracking. She introduced the consultants from the FCS to review the water rate study information. Ms. Angie Sanchez Virnoche, Vice President/Principal FCS Group, confirmed that fee proposal is to increase the monthly water rates by 3.5% annually, with a conservation encouragement third tier added to irrigation and
residential rate schedules and moving the non-residential into a low and high rate structure. Additionally, they are including the one-time capitalization rates, pursuant to the court-mandated methodology, at a 3.5% increase, although the methodology would allow for a higher increase. The rate increases will be effective April 1 of each year. Ms. McLeod clarified the fees requested by the Police Department are based on staff costs and clarifications to animal control fees. She noted the Library was clarifying that late fees will only be applicable to adult accounts, not juveniles. The Fire Department created a fee for the permitting and services of fireworks displays, which occurs about three times a year. The Finance Department requested the non-sufficient fund fee to be paid by the customer and to adjust street light fees to one category rather than the existing three categories. The Administration Department brought forward a recommendation from the Parking Commission in an attempt to make general fees consistent and to establish fees that were associated with the desirable parking facilities. The City no longer has a parking lot at 4th Street and Coeur d’Alene, as that is the location of the new parking facility, so those fees are being removed.

DISCUSSION: Councilmember McEvers asked if the third tier fees were intended to promote conservation in hopes of eliminating the need for future wells. Ms. Virnoche noted that it is really a price signal to people to start conserving water. Mr. Sergey Tarasov, project manager, reviewed the rate impacts of high use of water, generally during the summer months. He explained the fee structure change would double the summer level, and approximately 8% of the total usage customers would hit the third tier. Councilmember McEvers noted that the City is getting ready to create another well and wondered if the City should consider charging more to discourage use. Mr. Tarasov noted that the City could reassess the water conservation each year to see if the fee is incentivizing water conservation. Mayor Widmyer clarified that fireworks displays over the lake and outside of the city limits are not within the City’s regulatory authority. City Administrator Troy Tymesen noted that the City does not have the proper equipment to determine illumination intensity so it was felt that it would be better to have just one category and that would not affect the account. Councilmember Gookin noted that he would approve all fees excluding the parking fees as he recalls telling the community that they would continue to have free boat trailer parking south of City Hall when McEuen Park was completed. Councilmember Miller noted that she also recalled the commitment to boat trailer parking. Councilmember Gookin noted that a study was conducted regarding downtown parking at which time they recommended making paid parking consistent throughout the area. Then approximately one year ago staff came forward requesting an increase to Independence Point to help pay for the ambassador program. He now wondered why the fees are being proposed to have different rate structures in opposition to the consultant’s recommendation. Mayor Widmyer noted that he does not agree that the fees should be consistent, as in most community’s premium parking costs more, and Independence Point is premium parking. He also noted that after spending a lot of time studying the downtown parking, he does not believe that the Memorial Field lot should be the same as Independence Point. Mr. Tymesen noted that the Parking Commission has reviewed the proposed fees a lot before making this recommendation and noted that the private lots do not have the same rates either. On-street parking is still 2-hours free, and with the addition of the parking garage at 2 hours free, the City would like to encourage use of the parking facility.
RESOLUTION NO. 19-005

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF COEUR D'ALENE, KOOTENAI COUNTY, IDAHO, ESTABLISHING AND AMENDING CERTAIN CITY FEES.

MOTION: Motion by McEvers, seconded by Evans to approve Resolution No. 19-005 setting forth various fees for the Administration Department, Finance Department, the Library, Police Department, and the Water Department.

ROLL CALL: McEvers Aye; Gookin No; English Aye; Edinger No; Evans Aye; Miller No. Motion carried with the Mayor voting in the affirmative.

ADJOURNMENT: Motion by McEvers, seconded by Evans that there being no other business this meeting be adjourned. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 8:51 p.m.

____________________________
Steve Widmyer, Mayor

ATTEST:

____________________________
Renata McLeod, CMC, City Clerk